

Statement by Amy Burchell

Planning Application No: P20/S4298/FUL

Property Address:

62 Ludsden Grove

Thame

OX9 3BZ

Proposal:

To use part of a garden building at 62 Ludsden Grove for a hair salon.

I would like to respond to the objections and opinions of my proposal.

Gerald Chaulk

Gerald Chaulk has raised concerns with my clients using the shared front path and alleyway to access my garden due to his privacy. The working hours I will be working Monday to Friday I believe coincide with Gerald's working hours, this will mean that he will not be home whilst my clients are using the shared access. I understand on a Saturday morning he will be at home, I will only have a couple of clients at this time and I believe would be no more disruption than me having visitors. I understand working hours change and should this be the case with Gerald I believe due to the small amount of clients I will be having over the week, there will be minimal disruption and again not too different to me having visitors. I would also like to say I am currently allowed to work from within my home, so I am already having clients into my home so there really would be no difference to what the current situation is now. I will be working there solely with only one client at a time. I arrange my time so no clients overlap, there will be no one waiting outside for their appointment. They will simply park on my driveway and walk straight through to the salon with next to no disruption.

Gerald has also raised concerns regarding noise, the building I will be working from will be at the end of the garden away from the houses. It will be a building built for purpose and will be using as much sound proofing materials as possible. There will be very minimal noise.

Thomas Anthony Wyse

Thomas has raised concerns of a dangerous traffic situation. I do not believe this to be the case. I myself pull on and off the driveway many times throughout the day, as do my visitors. So whether or not the salon were to go ahead this is an active driveway being used multiple times a day by myself and visitors.

Highway Liaison officers response states:

"On behalf of Oxfordshire County Council, as Local Highway Authority, I do not wish to object to the granting of planning permission.

After reviewing the supplied plans and documentation, the Highway Authority has No Objection to the proposal on the basis of Highway Safety."

Thomas has also explained we own a car and a van, this is correct. The van is my husbands and he is out at work from around 4.30am until 5.30pm so he will not be using the parking space during my working hours.

As for the un-neighbourly concern, I believe this to be Thomas's own opinion as this is not something that effects Thomas personally other than the fact he is Gerald's Uncle. Thomas is situated quite a way down the street from me so I do not feel my salon will impact him in anyway.

South Oxfordshire District Council

I am aware my planning officer is recommending approval for planning permission for the salon. They had some points which needed to be considered before they came to this decision. These were:

- Subject to the salon operating hours not exceeding 23 hours per week (Monday – Saturday)

I will not be exceeding these working hours

- Subject to no objection from County Highways

There are no objections from County Highways

- Subject to no objection from Environmental Health

There are no objections from Environmental Health

I hope I have managed to ease any concerns anyone may have. I am very respectful and would not do anything that I think would impact my neighbours in a disruptive or negative way. I like to think I get along very well with my neighbours in Ludsden Grove, I am friends with many of them and also quite a few are clients of mine and I have lot of their support in the progression of my salon. I am simply trying to make a small honest living to help support my family.

Thank you for your time

Amy Burchell

This page is intentionally left blank